G. R. S. 58]

Previous references. 1924 (Mysore) **File No.** 75-

Serial Nos. 1 – 4

Later references.
Mary 75 24-58

Mary Sch 3-52-1-3.

(12)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 4

Part B for December 1924.

SUBJECT

Submits for orders petition from Nabaraja Rao appealing against the orders of the Dy. Com Bangalore declining to grant him permission to tour with Palki, Chatri & other insignia

PR-857 dated 11.12.24 — To the Mary. Comr

Govt. are not prepared to recognise the claim of the Guru to use certain insignia

Muz 1] 1924.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

(Muz.)

NOTES.

File No.

FILE HEADING

Grant of Biradari to the Mahanga a-
Gama of Kotikalli mall in the Hiriyur Taluk
and Nelamangala mall.

From The Muzrai Comr

No 7 Dated 20.9.1924 Received

Diary No. 367 | Enclos. 1 file Spare Copies. | Serial No 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits for orders of Govt, the petition from Mahangara Gowda appealing against the Bangalore Dy. Comrs. order declining to grant him permission to wear both Pattachi and other insignia

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1 The Muz. Comrs. letter explains the facts of the case.

Dft enclosing Govt. for appl & orders

AH 27.9.24.

RH 27.9.24

2nd member 30.9.24

21 Dft sent

31 Issue 2.10.24. 1.10 JC 2.10

31/1.10

NOTES--First Sheet

WD 0560—GPB—500—9 8 22

General and Revenue Secretariat

Munz. File No. 75 of 1924.

Serial No	
2	To The Munzai Tehsildar. No. 507 dt. 13.10.24. Requests him to obtain from the petitioner the original Jamabandi & orders passed in his petition and calls for further information.
3.	From, The Munzai Tehsildar Letter No. 2 dt. 16.10.24. Forwarding petitioner's statement giving facts with on the point raised in S.C.
4	As regards the status and standing of the mat, the petitioner himself says that he is a peaceful and respectable person. This mat is a hereditary mat but the land does not carry any grant whereas the petitioner's succession had not been recognized by Govt, and the petitioner does not know if Govt. at any time directly owned any Rehdars to the mats.
	Summing the above facts, there is an impression left on mind under the signature of the Tehsildar, Munzai, which he has changed to Tehsildar Mansehra.

Sd

might go in procession in public streets with any distinguishing marks of brass.

7) The insignia claimed are noted below: —

1. Palaki
2. Red chatri
3. Red Nisani,
4. Aftagiri
5 chowri,
6. Jhuri.

The Dy Comm writes suggests that "Aftagiri" is a royal honour, a special honour cannot be permitted and with regard to other Biradri, the petitioner has to apply to magistrate who may grant or refuse permission

Madhya Cove recommends the grant of all these Biradri to the petr.

8) It is not known what Biradri dhols were used by the petr when he travelled in the years 1911 and 1916, 1917 in Kadur and Hassan districts. In any license applications leading to these orders be called for? orders solicited.

11.12.24 9/12/24

Serial No.

NOTE—Contn Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat

Abkg. File No. of 19 .

Serial No.

10) The papers furnished do not help us in any way in deciding what Bramdeo the Servant is entitled. The Matter, as they call it, does not seem to be ~~a recognised~~ of any importance. The licence application may perhaps be called for as suggested above

Rev. Secy

11

646/24

11) On the above ~~a~~ satisfactory Evidence to show that the Guru or Gurkam was Entitled to any land as any time been granted to the Applicant's Ancestors - The Jama [illegible] is a very large sum. The Latter a Petty sum; - I am not prepared to recognise the claim of the former to any land

12) [illegible] may be allowed to continue in the undisturbed possession of the ~~above~~ land as

Rev 23

26.11.24

13) Dft - Endorsement.

27.11.24.

27.11.24

14) Issue

4.12.24.

NOTE—Contn Sheet

G.R.S. III 21

Mwz. File No. 75 of 1924.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial No. 4

To the Mwzpal Kumaon. No. 85 Jd. 11/2.24

State that Govt are not prepared to re-
cognise the claim of the Gurus to use Nita-
gini & Chauri honors as there is no evi-
dence to show that he was entitled to
the same, but that he may be allowed
to use the other insignias mentioned in
his letter of 20th Sept. 1923.

19/12

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

16371

No. Appl. 9017 M 25 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

Encl. one petition and 3 fls Dated Bangalore, 20th September 1924.

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

GOVT. SECRETARIAT 24 SEP 1924 BOARD D 3867

H.H. 24 SEP. 1924 To SECTION

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit herewith petition dated 8th August 1924 presented by Matangara Guru Jambava Sri Malla Swami of Kodalli Matha in the Hiriyur Taluk appealing against the orders of the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, declining to grant him permission

*1 Palki 2.Red Chatri 3.Red Nisani 4.Aftagiri 5.Chowri 6.Thuri.	to tour with the marginally noted insignias and also a representation made by the disciples of the matha praying that, in view of the long standing and status of the matha and of the fact that the said insignias have been in the enjoyment of successive swamys since the days of Nawab Tippu Sultan as evidenced by the order dated 11th Rabbi-u-Laval 1211, favourable orders may be passed on their petiton.

2. The view taken by the Deputy Commissioner in disallowing the claim of the petitioner - Swami for parading the insignias seems to me to be erroneous in as much as the orders of Government passed in their No.G.11404-14/Pol.202-14-41 dated 14th November 1914 lies to private individuals and have not bearing on questions connected with the use of insignias by heads of religious institution-whether falling under the category of Muzrai institutions or not.

The insignias claimed by the Swamigalu are ordinary ones and in fact "Palki" cannot be classed as an insignia as it is more of the nature of a travelling convenience (vide observations contained

in Government Order No.497-505/M.F.490-13-2 dated 27th August 1914.) The Swami has a fairly large following and weilds much influence -- over the "Matanga" Community in the State which is by no means ---- inappreciable, as is evidenced by the number of signatures to the petition and representation made in person by their leaders.

3. In the circumstances I beg to recommend that permission to parade the above insignias during the Swamys itinerary may be -- granted. If approved, the Deputy Commissioners of Districts --- through which the Swami proposes to tour will be requested to issue the necessary rahadaris on previous application being made to them.

4. As the present appeal against the Deputy Commissioners order does not come within the purview of the Muzrai Regulation I could have disposed it of myself; but as it is not clear whether the --- classification of insignias made in the Government Order of 19th -- November 1919 applies to heads of religious Institutions which are not endowed by Government either with land or cash inams, this --- reference is submitted for the orders of Government, and in any case it would appear to be best that orders about insignia that may be paraded by Heads ofReligious Institutions have the approval of --- Government.

5. File of papers leading to the Deputy Commissioners of the 21st July 1924 is submitted for reference and kind return.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

K Chandy

Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

Muz 4]

SUBJECT .....

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Section Muz—
File No. 75-24
Serial No. 2

Muzrai Secretariat
( )
, *the* *192*

No. 507
one thrice file

Date of issue 13.10.24

To The Muz Comr

Signed by M.T. Ramaiya

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. 7 dt 20-9-1924 submitting for orders of Govt the petition from Madanga Gowda of Kodihalli mattu in the Hiriyur Taluk, appealing against the Bangalore Dy Comr's order to grant

comp
6/10

to go in procession with certain insignia, I am directed to request that you will be so good as to obtain from the petitioner, the original ~~of the~~ Sanads, referred to in para 2 of his petition and ~~(illegible)~~ send them up, with full information on the points noted below:—

(1) What is the status and standing of the petr?

(2) Is the ~~petr's~~ the claim of ~~the~~ a recognized Matt.?

(3) Is the Matt in your Dist? If so what are its endowments ~~Govt of the distn~~ Has this succession been recognized by Govt? If so, in what-on (4) were Sanads Rahadaries issued to the Matt by Govt in the past ~~and~~ if so, copies of orders granting the ~~original orders~~ may be send?

~~(5) Is the Matt a wealthy one?~~

(2) The records are herewith returned for ref.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—1500—27-7-23

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
Asst. Secretary
27.9.24

A. P No. 7
24-25

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 8th November 1924.

Encl. one Collection (Petn. + 3 files.

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

KV 10/11/24

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.507/M.F.75-24-2 dated 13th October 1924, in the matter of the issue of a Khadari to the Guru of the Kodihalli matha in the Hiriyur Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith a statement filed by the counsel for the appellant, furnishing seriatum information on the points noted in your letter under reply.

2. A collection of original orders bearing on the subject and produced by the appellant is also sent for reference and obliging return.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Chandy

Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

REPLIES TO THE QUESTIONS SENT.

1. The petitioner is a pious and respectable gentleman, revered by all the members of his community, and well known for his knowledge and learning in the religious affairs of his community. He is the direct lineal male eldest descendent in the first line, from his ancestor who was granted the sanad from Hazarat Tippu Sultan-e-saheed, of a high Social position and standing, amongst the members of his community ably looking after, and managing the affairs of the Mutt.

2. The Mutt is a very old - long standing and recognised institution known from times immemorial, its presence being noted, in Rice's Gazateer Vol. 1 page 254; and also in Mysore Census report 1901; and also in E. Thurston's "Castes and Tribes" Vol. 4, at page 324, and as is seen from the sanad of Tippu Sultan-e-saheed, which is dated about the year Hizari 1211, i.e about 130 years ago. The petitioner is the recognised Guru of thes recognised Mutt.

3. It is not known to the petitioner if the Mutt is a Muzarai institution or not. The Muzarai Department do not seen to have awarded it any endowments.

4. So far as Rahadaries, i.e., going in procession with music and biruds etc., is concerned, petitioner and petitioner's father were given the same presumably, as the successors of petitioner's grand-father, to the Gadi of the Mutt. The petitioner is the next nearest successor, - the pattadhi-kari or Mathadhipathi. The petitioner does not

not know, if his succession to his father's Gadi has been recognised by the Government; if it is not so, he humbly requests now that it may be kindly done so.

5. Copies of Rowadaries granted by Deputy Commissioners, Collectors, etc., have been filed with the petitioner's disciples petition to the benign Government, now for consideration and favourable orders before the benign Government. Petitioner does not know if the benign Government at any time directly issued any Rowadaries to the Mutt.

BANGALORE,
31st October 24.

C. Abdul Nabi

ADVOCATE FOR PETITIONER.

Muz 4]

SUBJECT..... ....

....

....

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, the *192*

Date of issue 11.12.24

Signed by M.S.T Ramaiya

Section

File No.

Serial No

No. 857

one petn
one Collector
Three file

To

The Muz. Comm

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter B.C no. 7 B 8. 11/24 recommending permission to the use of certain insignias by the Matanga Jana of Kodekalli mane in the Hiriyur Taluk, I am directed as there is no

Muz 11/12
MM 18/12
19/12/24.

Satisfactory evidence to
show that the Sirdar in
question was entitled to
and had at any time
been permitted to use
Aftagiri and Chowri —
the former of which is
a royal honor, and
the latter, a Special honor,.
We are not prepared
to recognise the claim of
the Sirdar to use them, I am, however, to state
~~and~~ to request that that the Sirdar may be
you will be so good as allowed to use
to allow the Sirdar to use
~~the~~ other insignias mentioned
in your letter No. 1777 M.P.
20-9-19-24.

(2) The records are
herewith returned

I have &c

4.12.24

Dy Rec. keeping.

24-11-24

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563– GPB—2 500—27 7 22